

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,606	07/10/2003	Mario Schroeder	MSA 256	3460	
75	90 03/02/2006		EXAMINER		
HORST KASPER			KAO, CHIH CHENG G		
13 FOREST DRIVE WARREN, NJ 07059			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/616,606	SCHROEDER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Chih-Cheng Glen Kao	2882				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	ion.			
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. ☑ The Notice of Appeal was filed on 17 January 2006. A br	rief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.3	7 must be filed within	two months of			
the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	11.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			(270) 004			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 25-39. Claim(s) objected to: 10-20. Claim(s) rejected: 1-9 and 21-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
 12. ⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ⊠ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s). <u>1/17/06</u>				

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Regarding claims 20 and 40, the replacement of the word "position" with "shape" in lines 2, 12, 14, and 17 of claim 20, as well as the corresponding lines in claim 40, along with the deletion of "rather than the location of the structure", raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The information disclosure statement filed 1/17/06 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.97(d) because it lacks a statement as specified in 37 CFR 1.97(e). It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

EDWARD J. GLICK